

14/04/2021

Part IV

dp1 - Poisson algebras

Mainly two families of Poisson algebras:

(1) \hookrightarrow Symplectic smooth varieties.

(2) \hookrightarrow "Almost commutative" filtered associative unital alg. (ex: $D(G), \mathcal{U}(g)$)

§1. Ex arising from symplectic smooth varieties.

Let (X, ω) be a smooth symplectic ^{affine} variety, i.e. ω is a non-degenerate regular 2-form on X such that $d\omega = 0$

$\Rightarrow \dim X$ is even.

Fact: $\mathcal{O}(X)$ has a natural Poisson structure

Examples:

(1) $X = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ with coordinates $q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n$.

$\omega = dp_1 \wedge dq_1 + \dots + dp_n \wedge dq_n$ symplectic structure.

(2) T^*X , X smooth, has canonical symplectic structure.

idea: we construct a 1-form on T^*X and set $\omega = d\lambda$ ($\Rightarrow d\omega = 0$).

To construct λ : pick $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in T_x^*X$

$$\lambda: T_x(T^*X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Consider the canonical projection $\pi: T^*X \rightarrow X$

the tangent map at α : $d_\alpha\pi: T_\alpha(T^*X) \rightarrow T_xX$

let $\mathcal{J} \in T_\alpha(T^*X)$. then define $\lambda(\mathcal{J})$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_\alpha(T^*X) & \xrightarrow{d_\alpha\pi} & T_xX & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbb{R} \\ \mathcal{J} & \longmapsto & d_\alpha\pi(\mathcal{J}) & \longmapsto & \langle \alpha, d_\alpha\pi(\mathcal{J}) \rangle \end{array}$$

$$\omega = d\lambda$$

To see the non-degenerate character.

In coordinates: let (q_1, \dots, q_n) local coord on X , and p_1, \dots, p_n additional local coord in T^*X .

$$\text{Write } T^*X \ni \alpha = (\underbrace{q_1(\alpha), \dots, q_n(\alpha)}_{x \in X}, \underbrace{p_1(\alpha), \dots, p_n(\alpha)}_{T_xX})$$

$$\mathcal{J} \in T_\alpha(T^*X) \text{ has the form } \mathcal{J} = \sum_i b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + \sum_j c_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \quad b_i, c_j \in \mathbb{R}$$

thus: $x = \pi(\alpha) = (q_1(\alpha), \dots, q_n(\alpha))$ $d_\alpha\pi: T_\alpha(T^*X) \rightarrow T_xX \ni \text{given by}$

$$\mathcal{J} \longmapsto d_\alpha\pi(\mathcal{J}) = \sum c_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j}$$

$$\text{We see that } \lambda(\mathcal{J}) = \langle \alpha, d_\alpha\pi(\mathcal{J}) \rangle = \sum_i p_i(\alpha) c_i$$

$$\text{concl: } \lambda = \sum p_i dq_i \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = d\lambda = \sum dp_i \wedge dq_i$$

| hence ω gives a sympl. structure on T^*X .

3) G is an algebraic Lie group, $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$

$$G \curvearrowright G \quad \text{by} \quad g \cdot h = g h g^{-1} = \text{Ink}(g)(h)$$

$$g \in G \quad \text{Ink}(g): G \longrightarrow G$$

$$\text{Ad}(g) = d_e \text{Ink}(g): T_e G \longrightarrow T_e G \\ \cong \mathfrak{g} \qquad \qquad \cong \mathfrak{g}$$

$$\text{Ad}: G \longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad g \in G \longmapsto \text{Ad}(g)$$

$$\leadsto \boxed{G \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}}$$

$$d_e \text{Ad}: T_e G = \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad x \longmapsto \text{ad}x: y \longmapsto [x, y]$$

G acts on \mathfrak{g} and on \mathfrak{g}^*

$$g \cdot \mathcal{F} = \text{Ad}^*(g)\mathcal{F}: x \in \mathfrak{g} \longmapsto \mathcal{F}(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})x)$$

Rem: $\text{Ad}^*: G \longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \quad \leadsto \quad \text{ad}^*: \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$

where: $(\text{ad}^* x)(\mathcal{F}) : \alpha \in \mathfrak{g} \longmapsto -\mathcal{F}(\text{ad}x \alpha) = -\mathcal{F}([x, \alpha])$

Prop: Any coadjoint orbit $\mathbb{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ ^{$\mathbb{O} = G \cdot \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$} has a natural symplectic structure

(Kostant-Kirillov-Sourin)

proof: $\alpha \in \mathbb{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$

We have to produce a skew-symmetric form on $T_x \mathbb{O}$.

$\mathbb{O} \cong G/G^\alpha$, where G^α is the isotropy group (stabilizer) of α in G

therefore $T_x \mathbb{O} = T_x(G/G^\alpha) = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$, where $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha = \text{Lie}(G^\alpha) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : (\text{ad}^* x)\alpha = 0\}$

\leadsto we have to define a skew-symmetric 2-form on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$.

first define: $\omega_\alpha: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, $\omega_\alpha(x, y) = \alpha([x, y])$

this form descends to $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$

$$g \in G^\alpha \Leftrightarrow (\text{Ad}^*_g)\alpha = \alpha$$

Differentiating at $g=e$, we obtain that $(\text{ad}^*_x)\alpha = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$

$$(\text{ad}^*_x)\alpha(y) = -\alpha([x, y])$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\omega_\alpha(x, y)}$

therefore:

$$\omega_\alpha(x, y) = 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathfrak{g} \Leftrightarrow x \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$$

thus: $\omega_\alpha: \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is well-defined and non-degenerate.

claim: $d\omega = 0$.

proof: Cartan formula:

$$d\omega(X_1, X_2, X_3) = X_1 \cdot \omega(X_2, X_3) + X_2 \cdot \omega(X_1, X_3) + X_3 \cdot \omega(X_1, X_2) \\ - \omega([X_1, X_2], X_3) - \omega([X_2, X_1], X_3) - \omega([X_1, X_3], X_2) - \omega([X_3, X_1], X_2) - \omega([X_2, X_3], X_1).$$

Any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ gives rise to a vector field X_x on \mathbb{D} .

$X_x, x \in \mathfrak{g}$, gives $T_x\mathbb{D}$ to each $x \in \mathbb{D}$.

Need to show that $d\omega = 0$, it suffices to check that

$$d\omega(X_x, X_y, X_z) = 0 \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$$

$$\omega(X_x, X_y)(\alpha) = \alpha([X_y, X_x]) \quad (X_x \cdot y)(\alpha) = \alpha([x, y]) \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$$

+ Jacobi \rightarrow get the statement. \square

Poisson structure on $O(X)$ for a sympl. smooth variety (X, ω)

$$\omega \rightsquigarrow TX \cong T^*X \text{ (canon.)} : T_x X \longrightarrow T_x^* X, \xi \longmapsto (\eta \longmapsto \omega_x(\xi, \eta))$$

Define a linear map:

$$O(X) \longrightarrow \{\text{vector fields on } X\}, f \longmapsto \mathcal{F}_f \text{ is defined by:}$$

$$\omega(\cdot, \mathcal{F}_f) = df \quad (x \in X, \eta \in T_x X, \omega_x(\eta, \mathcal{F}_f) = df(\eta))$$

We define a bracket on $O(X)$ by:

$$\{f, g\} := \omega(\mathcal{F}_f, \mathcal{F}_g) (= L_{\mathcal{F}_f} g = \mathcal{F}_f \cdot g)$$

Def: A vector field \mathcal{F} is called symplectic if it preserves the symplectic form, i.e.:

$$L_{\mathcal{F}} \omega = 0, \text{ where } L_{\mathcal{F}} \text{ is the Lie derivative}$$

$$L_{\mathcal{F}} \text{ acts on } \Omega^k X \quad \boxed{L_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha) = i_{\mathcal{F}} d\alpha + d i_{\mathcal{F}} \alpha}$$

$$d: \{k\text{-forms}\} \longrightarrow \{(k+1)\text{-forms}\}$$

$$i: \{k\text{-forms}\} \longrightarrow \{(k-1)\text{-forms}\}$$

$$(i_{\mathcal{F}} \omega)(\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) = \omega(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{k-1})$$

$$\text{In particular of } \alpha : i_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha) = \langle \mathcal{F}, \alpha \rangle = \langle \alpha, \mathcal{F} \rangle$$

Lemma: $\forall f \in O(X), \mathcal{F}_f$ is symplectic: $L_{\mathcal{F}_f} \omega = 0$ $(i_{\mathcal{F}_f} d\omega + d i_{\mathcal{F}_f} \omega)$

proof: (1) ω is closed, $d\omega = 0$ (hyp)

$$(2) d i_{\mathcal{F}_f} \omega = -d(df) = 0.$$

$$\omega(\cdot, \mathcal{F}_f) = df \quad -df = i_{\mathcal{F}_f} \omega$$

Hence $L_{\mathcal{F}_f} \omega = 0$

Def: We have a Lie homom:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{O}(X, \gamma, \gamma) \longrightarrow \{\text{symplectic vector fields on } X\} \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ f \longmapsto \mathbb{F}f \end{array}$$

\nearrow Lie algebra.

prop: We have to show $[\mathbb{F}f, \mathbb{F}g] = \mathbb{F}[\gamma f, \gamma g]$

from our properties of Lie derivative ... \square

Thm: $(\mathcal{O}(X), \gamma, \gamma)$ is a Poisson algebra. (X sympl. smooth (affine) variety)

prop: • Jacobi identity:

$$\text{By the proposition: } [\mathbb{F}f, \mathbb{F}g] \cdot h = \mathbb{F}[\gamma f, \gamma g] h = \{\gamma f, \gamma g\} \cdot h \quad (1)$$

$$[\mathbb{F}f, \mathbb{F}g] h = \mathbb{F}f \mathbb{F}g h - \mathbb{F}g \mathbb{F}f h = \{f, \{g, h\}\} - \{g, \{f, h\}\} \quad (2)$$

(1) - (2) \implies Jacobi.

• Leibniz: clear since $g \longmapsto \mathbb{F}f g$, $\forall f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, \mathbb{F} is a derivation of $\mathcal{O}(X)$.

\square

Ex: $(\mathcal{O}(T^*X), \gamma, \gamma)$ and $(\mathcal{O}(G \cdot \mathbb{F}), \gamma, \gamma)$ are Poisson

$\mathbb{F} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Ex - Examples arising from almost commutative assoc. alg.

Let A be a filtered assoc. (non-comm.) alg. with unit

$$0 \subset A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \dots \quad \cup A_i = A, \quad A_i \cdot A_j \subset A_{i+j}$$

$$\bar{A} = \text{gr } A = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} A_p / A_{p-1}, \quad A_{-1} = \{0\}$$

the product in A gives rise to a well-defined product on \bar{A} :

$$A_i / A_{i-1} \times A_j / A_{j-1} \longrightarrow A_{i+j} / A_{i+j-1}$$

this makes \bar{A} an assoc. alg structure

Def: A is almost-commutative i.e.: \bar{A} is comm.

Prop: if A is almost-comm., then $\bar{A} = \text{gr } A$ has a natural Poisson structure:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \{ \cdot, \cdot \} : A_i / A_{i-1} \times A_j / A_{j-1} &\longrightarrow A_{i+j-1} / A_{i+j-2} \\ (a_i + A_{i-1}, a_j + A_{j-1}) &\longmapsto \underbrace{a_i a_j - a_j a_i}_{\in A_{i+j-1}} + A_{i+j-2} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

prop: well-defined ✓

Jacobi + Leibniz: are satisfied for $\{a_i, a_j\} := a_i a_j - a_j a_i$

$$\{a y, b\} = a \{y, b\} + \{a, b\} y$$

→ so in $\bar{A} = \text{gr } A$ we get the statement. □

Ex: $U(\mathfrak{g})$, $D(X)$, $Zhu(V)$ where V is a graded VA.

Namely: $\text{gr } U(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is Poisson

$\text{gr } D(X) \simeq \mathbb{C}(T^*X) \rightarrow T^*X$ Poisson

lem: if $\forall a_i \in A_i, g_j \in A_j, a_i g_j - g_j a_i \in A_{i+j-2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \{, \} : A_i/A_{i-1} \times A_j/A_{j-1} &\longrightarrow A_{i+j-2}/A_{i+j-3} \\ a_i, g_j &\longmapsto a_i g_j - g_j a_i + A_{i+j-3} \end{aligned}$$

Because A is non commutative, there is always a natural Poisson structure in this way.

Ex: (1) $\mathfrak{g} \cup \mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \xrightarrow{S(\mathfrak{g})} (\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}^*), \{, \})$ Poisson

In particular, \mathfrak{g}^* is a Poisson variety.

$$f, g \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$$

$$\{f, g\}(\mathcal{J}) = \langle \mathcal{J}, [d_{\mathcal{J}} f, d_{\mathcal{J}} g] \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{J} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$$

\uparrow
viewed as element of \mathfrak{g}

$$f: \mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$d_{\mathcal{J}} f: \mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ linear}$$

$$d_{\mathcal{J}} f \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$$

$$\text{check: } \mathfrak{g} \subset S(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \cup \mathfrak{g}^*$$

$$x \mathfrak{g} - \mathfrak{g} x = [x, \mathfrak{g}] \quad \checkmark \quad \rightarrow \quad \{x, f\} = x, \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}$$

Enough to check on degree one generators.

$$\mathbb{O} = \mathfrak{G} \cdot \mathcal{J} \quad \mathfrak{O}(\mathfrak{G} \cdot \mathcal{J}) \text{ Poisson } \{ \}_{\text{sym}}$$

$$f, g \in \mathfrak{O}(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \quad \{f, g\}$$

$$\{f, g\}|_{\mathbb{O}}(\mathcal{J}) = \{f|_{\mathbb{O}}, g|_{\mathbb{O}}\}_{\text{sym}}(\mathcal{J})$$

\uparrow
they are the same.

proof: enough to show the statement for elements of $(\mathfrak{g}^*)^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$

$$[x, y] \langle \alpha | = \alpha([x, y]) = (\text{ad } x)(y)|_{\alpha} = (x \cdot y)|_{\alpha} = \{x|_{\mathbb{O}}, y|_{\mathbb{O}}\}_{\text{symplectic}} \langle \alpha | \quad \square$$

$$(2) \text{gr } \mathcal{O}(G) \simeq \mathbb{C}[T^*G]$$

sk: Poisson structure on $\mathcal{O}(T^*G) = \text{gr } \mathcal{O}(G)$ is the same as the one coming from the sympl. structure on T^*G (holds for any smooth alg. variety X).

proof: $\mathcal{O}(T^*X)$ is gen. by the subalgebra $\mathcal{O}(X) \subset \mathcal{O}(T^*X)$

($\pi: T^*X \rightarrow X$) and by the space of functions that are linear along the fibres (i.e.: symbols of the vector fields on X).

Due to Leibniz, enough to check the Poisson bracket on these generators.

* those involving $\mathcal{O}(X)$: easy.

* $\{f, \gamma\}$ vector field on X , $\{f, \gamma\} = [f, \gamma] \dots$ □

§3 - Stratification into symplectic leaves

Let X be an affine algebraic variety ^(reduced), $X = \text{Spec } A$, A reduced.

Assume that (A, τ, γ) is a Poisson algebra (i.e. X is a Poisson variety)

Ex: \mathfrak{g}^* , T^*G , $X_V = (\text{Spec } R_V)_{\text{red}}$, $(\text{Spec } \mathfrak{g}^* / \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})_V)_{\text{red}}$, etc. ...

Assume first X is smooth.

known fact:

X Poisson $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ closed regular 2-form ω on X (not necessarily non-degenerate)

ex: on \mathfrak{g}^* : $\omega_x: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(\eta, \gamma) \mapsto \alpha(\tau_{\eta} \gamma)$.

$$\omega_x \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \quad \mathbb{O} = \mathfrak{g} \times x \quad \mathbb{O} = \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_x \quad T_x \mathbb{O} = \mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}_x$$

$x \in X$: the symplectic leaf $Z(x)$ containing x is the maximal connected complex analytic manifold in X at Z, γ is non-degenerate at every pt of $Z(x)$.

ie: $Z(x)$ is the max symplectic variety containing x .

Proposition X smooth, $x, y \in X$

$x \sim y \Leftrightarrow \exists \sigma: B(\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\text{well around } 0} \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma(\varepsilon) = x$, $\sigma(0) = y$ for some $\gamma \in B(\varepsilon)$.

and σ is an integral curve of some Hamiltonian $\{H, \cdot\}$, $H \in A$.

ie: $\forall f \in A$, $\frac{d}{d\gamma} (f \circ \sigma) = \{H, f\} \circ \sigma$.

$Z(x) = \{y \in X : y \sim x\}$.

If X is not smooth

$X_0 := X_{\text{reg}}$: the smooth locus $X_0 = \bigcup_x X(x)$ from the smooth case

$\tilde{X}_1 = X \setminus X_{\text{reg}}$ $X_1 = (\tilde{X}_1)_{\text{reg}} \leadsto$

$X = \bigcup_k X_k$, each X_k is smooth variety. X_k may decompose into
irreducible locus.

$$X = \bigcup_x X(x)$$